# Zinc

#### **Common Acronyms**

CNS Chinese Nutrition Society

CRN Council for Responsible Nutrition

DRI dietary reference intake

EC SCF European Commission Scientific Committee on Food

EFSA European Food Safety Authority

EVM Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals

ICMR-NIN Indian Council of Medical Research - National Institute of Nutrition

IOM Institute of Medicine
IU international unit

KNS Korean Nutrition Society

LOAEL lowest observed adverse effect level

NDA EFSA Panel on Nutrition, Novel Foods and Food Allergens

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level

RCT randomized clinical trial

SUL safe upper level UF uncertainty factor

UL tolerable upper intake level

#### Introduction

Zinc is an essential element that demonstrates a classic U-shaped dose-response curve in which adverse effects are associated with receiving either too little or too much zinc. Zinc deficiency resulting from inadequate dietary intake can lead to a variety of physiological effects. For example, impaired growth and development has been observed in some countries, as evidenced by areas of endemic hypogonadism and dwarfism in rural Iran (Cousins 1996; King and Keen 1999; Hidgon 2001; EC SCF 2003; Li et al. 2022). Conditioned (secondary) zinc deficiency related to diseases, iatrogenic causes, impaired absorption, or excess zinc loss can also result in a variety of negative health effects (Hidgon 2001; Li et al. 2022; Sangeetha et al. 2022).

Zinc is essential for the functions of numerous enzymes, including many involved in acid-base

balance, amino acid metabolism, protein synthesis, and nucleic acid synthesis and function (Hidgon 2001; Sangeetha et al. 2022). For example, a zinc-dependent enzyme facilitates the conversion of food forms of folic acid (pteroylpolyglutamates) to free folic acid (pteroylmonoglutamate) to permit the body's utilization of food folates. Subsequently, the conversion of pteroylheptaglutamate to free folic acid is impaired with zinc deficiency.

## **Safety Considerations**

Zinc toxicity can occur either acutely or chronically. Acute zinc toxicity symptoms include nausea, vomiting, loss of appetite, abdominal cramps, diarrhea, and headache (IOM 2001, 2006; EC SCF 2003). The acute effects of zinc excess typically result from ingesting gram quantities of zinc, which could occur by consuming 40 to 60 servings of a typical multivitamin that provides RDA levels (e.g., 8-12 mg per day in adults; IOM [2001, 2006]) of essential nutrients. For example, vomiting has been associated with intakes of 225-450 mg zinc (Higdon 2001; IOM 2006).

Chronic adverse effects of zinc excess are more subtle. Impacts on copper status have been identified previously by CRN, as well as global authoritative agencies, as the most critical safety-related endpoint. Specifically, reductions in serum copper levels have been reported in human clinical trials following zinc supplementation (Higdon 2001; IOM 2001; EC SCF 2003). At high supplemental intakes (e.g., 100-150 mg per day), overt effects of copper deficiency have been observed, including anemia and neutropenia (EC SCF, 2003). The effect of zinc intake on copper status has been shown to have a dose response, with effects found inconsistently below intake levels of 100 mg per day (EC SCF, 2003).

In some clinical trials, the activity of the erythrocyte enzyme, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (ESOD), has been assessed as an indicator of copper status. For example, in one small clinical trial, ESOD levels in healthy females (N=18) were significantly reduced following 50 mg per day zinc supplementation for ten weeks (Yadrick et al. 1989). This study served as the basis of the IOM (2011) UL value and part of the basis for the EVM (2003) UL value (both discussed below), with the reduction of copper-dependent ESOD identified as the most sensitive endpoint. However, selection of a NOAEL or LOAEL based on this endpoint may be overly conservative,

as the researchers in this study did not determine how much reserve functional capacity is available for this enzyme and whether a small decrease in activity would have any relevant clinical impact. In fact, the EC SCF (2003) reviewed the available data on decreased copperdependent ESOD following zinc supplementation and concluded the physiological relevance of such to be unclear, as this finding "is not accompanied by adverse effects and is not considered to be a marker of decreased copper status".

Reductions in copper status have been reported in at least one clinical trial in an unhealthy population at supplemental zinc levels lower than 50 mg per day. In two publications reporting on the same trial, patients on maintenance hemodialysis received 50 mg per day (as zinc acetate hydrate) or 34 mg per day (as polaprezinc) for three months (Okamoto et al. 2020a, 2020b). Serum copper levels were significantly reduced in the 50 mg per day group from baseline levels but not in the lower zinc group; however, copper deficiency (serum copper level <  $60 \mu g/dL$ ) was reported in patients of both groups. Effects on copper levels have also been reported in non-dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease, where an increase in serum copper levels was observed in patients decreasing from 25 mg per day to 7.5 mg per day of zinc (Nazari-Taloki et al. 2023). As reviewed by Nazari-Taloki and colleagues, several other studies (case studies and clinical trials) reported decreased serum copper levels with zinc supplementation in kidney disease patients. Given the health status of these patients, they are excluded from the target population for a UL.

No adverse effects have been observed, including on copper status, in other (double blind) clinical trials in healthy and some unhealthy populations at supplemental zinc intakes of 30-60 mg per day for 6-14 weeks in double blind trials (Davis et al. 2000; Milne et al. 2001; Bonham et al. 2003a, 2003b; DiSilvestro et al. 2015; Barnett et al. 2016; Katayama et al. 2020; Nazem et al. 2023; see also table below of key studies).

Some other effects reported with zinc supplementation have been reported. For example, supplemental zinc has been shown to influence several biomarkers that may have clinical relevance in certain populations. Zinc supplements of 150 and 300 mg per day for 6 weeks have been shown to cause impaired immune function (Chandra 1984; Greger 1994; IOM 2006).

Conversely, too little zinc has also been associated with impaired immune response (Higdon 2001). Zinc supplements have been associated with effects on lipoproteins and cholesterol metabolism (EC SCF 2003; IOM 2006); 50 mg or more per day have been shown to decrease serum HDL cholesterol levels (Hooper et al. 1980; Freeland-Graves et al. 1982; Black et al. 1988). In addition, total intakes of 60 mg of zinc decreased levels of iron in the Yadrick et al. (1989) study. Reports of anemia related to zinc intakes above 110 mg per day all describe the microcytic, hypochromic anemia associated with copper deficiency, a condition that could also interfere with iron utilization (Frambach and Bendel 1991; Gyorffy and Chan 1992; Summerfield et al. 1992; Greger 1994).

There are several medications that can interact with zinc, including antibiotics such as quinoline compounds and penicillamine, as well as several diuretics, such as hydrochlorothiazide. Zinc supplementation can interfere with the activity of medications, or in some cases medication can result in zinc depletion. A full discussion of drug-nutrient interactions is beyond the scope of this report, and individuals taking prescription medication should be advised to consult with their health care provider about potential drug-nutrient interactions.

Certain zinc–folic acid interactions are well documented (Butterworth and Tamura 1989). But the crucial issue is whether higher intakes of either zinc or folic acid may disrupt the bioavailability or function of the other and, if so, what the intakes associated with such effects are. Some reports of zinc–folic acid interactions suggest the possibility that supplemental folic acid could adversely affect zinc nutriture (Milne et al. 1984; Mukherjee et al. 1984; Simmer et al. 1987), but more recent reports have not uncovered any such interaction (Tamura et al. 1992; Kauwell et al. 1995).

The observation of adverse effects in some studies at lower zinc doses may be attributable to the variation in the dietary intake of phytate between populations. The inhibitory effect of phytate on zinc absorption has been evaluated extensively since the publication of the 3<sup>rd</sup> edition of this book. Findings suggest that absorption of zinc has likely previously been overestimated, indicating the need for revision to DRI values (Armah 2016; Hambidge 2008). In 2014, EFSA revised its DRIs for zinc based on saturation response modeling (up to 20 mg zinc per day) and taking into account the inverse relationship between dietary phytate and zinc absorption (Miller et al. 2007; Hambidge

et al. 2008, 2020; EFSA 2014). The EFSA DRI values derived for adults were increased from previous values derived by the EC SCF, with the population reference intake (PRI) values ranging from 7.5-16.3 mg zinc/day, depending on body weight and dietary phytate consumption (EFSA 2014).

## **Official Reviews**

**IOM (2001).** The IOM found the adverse effects of excess zinc to include a suppressed immune response, decreased HDL cholesterol levels, and a reduced copper status. The IOM did not find adverse effects on human reproduction from excess zinc in their study. Of the various effects, the IOM selected the reduced copper status as the critical effect for deriving a UL for zinc. Specifically, the IOM used the data showing suppression of copper-dependent superoxide dismutase at 50 mg of zinc supplementation (Yadrick et al. 1989) to identify a LOAEL. Although no zinc intake from food was identified by Yadrick and colleagues, the IOM used population data to estimate a dietary zinc intake of 10 mg for the study. Thus, the IOM identified a LOAEL of 60 mg per day for total intake from all sources. A UF of 1.5 was selected to correct for uncertainty in extrapolation from a LOAEL to a NOAEL; the UF of 1.5 was judged to be adequate because reduced copper status is rare. Thus, the IOM UL for zinc is 40 mg per day for total intake from all sources.

European Commission, Scientific Committee on Food (EC SCF 2003). The EC SCF identified a NOAEL for zinc of approximately 50 mg per day. This NOAEL represents an overall conclusion based upon several studies. Although zinc intakes as low as 18.2 mg may decrease copper retention (Festa et al. 1985), this effect is readily corrected by adequate copper intake. Studies looking at the interplay between zinc and copper (Davis et al. 2000; Milne et al. 2001) indicate that copper balance and other indicators of copper status can be maintained when zinc intake is as high as 53 mg. No adverse effects were observed with 30 mg of supplemental zinc when dietary zinc was near 10 mg (Bonham et al. 2003a, 2003b). From these data collectively, the EC SCF identified its NOAEL of 50 mg of zinc and proposed a UF of 2 to derive a UL of 25 mg for total intake from all sources. Of note, the EFSA NDA Panel has been asked by the European Commission to provide updated scientific opinions on the UL values for various vitamins and minerals. As of the time of this review, such a report has not been released

by EFSA for zinc; however, re-evaluations of other vitamins and minerals are noted by EFSA to be "ongoing" (EFSA, 2024).

Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals (EVM 2003). The UK's EVM identified the key adverse effect for zinc intake to be reduction of copper absorption, with reduction of copper-dependent superoxide dismutase being the most sensitive endpoint. EVM selected a LOAEL of 50 mg for supplemental zinc based on several studies (Black et al. 1988; Yadrick et al. 1989; Cunningham et al. 1994; Davis et al. 2000). To extrapolate from a LOAEL to a NOAEL, the EVM selected a UF of 2, resulting in a derived SUL of 25 mg per day for supplemental zinc. The EVM (2003) noted that the total daily intake of 42 mg per day would not be expected to result in any adverse effects.

Chinese Nutrition Society (CNS 2023). An UL value for zinc of 40 mg per day in adults was set by the CNS.

Indian Council of Medical Research - National Institute of Nutrition (ICMR-NIN 2020). The ICMR-NIN determined the UL for zinc in adults to be 40 mg per day, consistent with IOM (2001) and based on an updated review of available literature.

**Korean Nutrition Society (KNS 2020).** The KNS published its general approach to evaluating data for setting DRI values. Based on this approach, UL values of 35 and 33 mg per day were derived for zinc in adults ages ≥19 and 18 years, respectively.

#### **CRN Recommendations**

The goal of the current update to CRN's supplemental UL for zinc was to determine whether more recent human clinical data are available that might impact the conclusions published in the 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. While not all human clinical trials are specifically designed to evaluate adverse effects, no new trials were identified following CRN's updated methodology that reported serum copper reducing effects or other serious adverse effects associated with zinc intervention in healthy volunteers, as well as most trials in unhealthy populations.<sup>1</sup> As with any assessment in

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Literature search conducted September 2024.

which not all available data are reviewed, inherent uncertainties with the risk assessment and selection of the UL are recognized. As discussed above, other methodologies have been used by some government agencies that included review of available human and animal data, ultimately relying on other human clinical trials to derive associated UL values.

CRN's safety methodology for deriving a supplemental intake UL prioritizes data from human studies, when available. The table below summarizes the key human clinical and epidemiological studies considered in deriving an updated UL for supplemental intakes by CRN according to its principal points of departure for risk assessment (as described in the Methods).

Key Studies Considered for the CRN UL for Zinc

| Reference  | Study Design          | Participant<br>Description                              | No. of<br>Subjects | Dose(s)<br>(mg per day) | Duration | NOAEL<br>(mg/day) | LOAEL (mg/day)  |  |
|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Reference Study Design Description Subjects (mg per day) Duration (mg/day) (mg/day  Key studies from 3 <sup>rd</sup> edition |                       |   |                    |                         |          |                   |                 |  |
| Bonham et al. 2003a  | Double<br>blind trial | Healthy male volunteers                                 | 38                 | 0, 30 <sup>a</sup>      | 14 weeks | 30                | N/A             |  |
| Bonham et al. 2003b  | Double blind trial    | Healthy male volunteers                                 | 38                 | 0, 30 <sup>a</sup>      | 14 weeks | 30                | N/A             |  |
| Yadrick et al. 1989  | Double blind trial    | Healthy female volunteers                               | 18                 | 50                      | 10 weeks | N/A               | 50 <sup>b</sup> |  |
| Davis et al.<br>2000   | Double<br>blind trial | Healthy female<br>volunteers (post-<br>menopausal)      | 25                 | 53                      | 90 days  | 53                | N/A             |  |
| Milne et al.<br>2001   | Double<br>blind trial | Healthy female<br>volunteers (post-<br>menopausal)      | 21                 | 53                      | 90 days  | 53                | N/A             |  |
| Key studies  | identified in up      | odate   |                    |                         |          |                   |                 |  |
| Barnett et al. 2016  | Double<br>blind trial | Nursing home<br>elderly with zinc<br>deficiency         | 31                 | 0, 30                   | 3 months | 30                | N/A             |  |
| Katayama et al. 2020   | Double<br>blind trial | Patients with chronic liver disease and zinc deficiency | 57                 | 0, 50                   | 8 weeks  | 50                | N/A             |  |
| Nazem et al. 2023  | Double blind trial    | Patients with type 2 diabetes                           | 70                 | 0, 50                   | 8 weeks  | 50                | N/A             |  |
| DiSilvestro et al. 2015  | Double blind trial    | Healthy female volunteers                               | 30                 | 0, 60                   | 6 weeks  | 60                | N/A             |  |

N/A, not applicable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Fourteen weeks of zinc supplement followed by eight weeks of 3 mg/day copper

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Based on decreased superoxide dismutase activity

There are no known adverse effects of zinc at chronic supplemental levels of 30 mg per day (Bonham et al. 2003a, 2003b; Barnett et al. 2016). This is supported by a lack of adverse effects in many studies with higher doses in healthy and unhealthy populations (Davis et al. 2000; Milne et al. 2001; DiSilvestro et al. 2015; Katayama et al. 2020; Nazem et al. 2023). This level also provides a substantial margin of safety below the levels associated with adverse effects have been reported (at least 50 mg of supplemental zinc). Therefore, 30 mg per day is identified as the NOAEL for zinc following the CRN process. As described in the Methods, if the supplemental intake dose-response relationship is identified from the strongest data and assessed conservatively, no additional uncertainty factor is needed (that is, the implicit UF is 1.0). Consistent with CRN's methodology, an UF of 1 is applied to yield an UL of 30 mg per day for adults for supplemental zinc. Assuming a dietary zinc intake of 10 mg, the CRN UL for supplements is compatible with the 40 mg IOM UL for total intake.

## **Quantitative Summary for Zinc for Adults**

| CRN (2024) UL, supplemental intake | 30 mg/day <sup>a</sup>                                |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| IOM (2001) UL, total intake        | 40 mg/day   |  |  |  |
| EC SCF (2003) UL, total intake     | 25 mg/day   |  |  |  |
| EVM (2003), guidance level         | 25 mg/day supplemental intake; 42 mg/day total intake |  |  |  |
| CNS (2023), total intake           | 40 mg/day   |  |  |  |
| ICMR-NIN (2020), total intake      | 40 mg/day   |  |  |  |
| KNS (2020), total intake           | 35 mg/day (33 mg/day for ages 15-18 years)            |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Assuming a dietary zinc intake of 10 mg, the CRN UL for supplements is compatible with the 40 mg IOM UL for total intake.

#### References

Armah SM. 2016. Fractional Zinc Absorption for Men, Women, and Adolescents Is Overestimated in the Current Dietary Reference Intakes. *J Nutr.* 146(6):1276-80.

Barnett JB, Dao MC, Hamer DH, Kandel R, Brandeis G, Wu D, Dallal GE, Jacques PF, Schreiber R, Kong E, Meydani SN. 2016. Effect of zinc supplementation on serum zinc concentration and T cell proliferation in nursing home elderly: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 103(3):942-51.

Black MR, Medeiros DM, Brunett E, Welke R. 1988. Zinc supplementation and serum lipids in adult white males. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 47:970–975.

Bonham M, O'Connor JM, Alexander HD, et al. 2003a. Zinc supplementation has no effect on circulating levels of peripheral blood leucocytes and lymphocyte subsets in healthy adult men. *Br J Nutr.* 89:695–703.

Bonham M, O'Connor JM, Alsh PM, et al. 2003b. Zinc supplementation has no effect on lipoprotein metabolism, hemostasis and putative indices of copper status in healthy men. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 93:75–86.

Butterworth CE Jr, Tamura T. 1989. Folic acid safety and toxicity: a brief review. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 50:353–358.

Cantilli R, Abernathy CO, Donohue JM. 1994. In: Mertz W, Abernathy CO, Olin SS, eds. *Risk Assessment of Essential Elements: Derivation of the Reference Dose for Zinc*. Washington, DC: ILSI Press; 113–126.

Chandra RK. 1984. Excessive intake of zinc impairs immune responses. *JAMA*. 252:1443–1446.

Chinese Nutrition Society (CNS). 2023. Dietary Reference Intakes for China, A summary Report. People's Medical Publishing House.

Cousins RJ. Zinc. In: Ziegler EE, Filer LJ, eds. *Present Knowledge of Nutrition*. 7th ed. Washington, DC: ILSI Press; 293–306.

Cunningham JJ, Fu A, Mearkle PL, Brown RG. 1994. Hyperzincuria in individuals with insulindependent diabetes mellitus: concurrent zinc status and the effect of high-dose zinc supplementation. *Metabolism*. 43:1558–1562.

Davis CD, Milne DB, Nielsen FH. 2000. Changes in dietary copper affect zinc-status indicators of post-menopausal women, notable extracellular superoxide dismutase and amyloid precursor proteins. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 71:781–788.

DiSilvestro RA, Koch E, Rakes L. 2015. Moderately High Dose Zinc Gluconate or Zinc Glycinate: Effects on Plasma Zinc and Erythrocyte Superoxide Dismutase Activities in Young Adult Women. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 168(1):11-4.

European Commission, Scientific Committee on Food (SCF). 2003. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on the Tolerable Upper Intake Level of Zinc. European Commission, SCF/CS/NUT/UPPLEV/62 Final. Brussels.

Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals (EVM), Committee on Toxicity. 2003. *Safe Upper Levels for Vitamins and Minerals*. London: Food Standards Agency Publications.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergens). 2014. Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for zinc. *EFSA Journal*. 12(10):3844, 76 pp. <a href="https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/3844">https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/3844</a>.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2024. Overview on Tolerable Upper Intake Levels as derived by the Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) and the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2024-05/ul-summary-report.pdf.

Festa MD, Anderson HL, Dowdy RP, Ellersiek MR. 1985. Effect of zinc intake on copper excretion and retention in men. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 41:285–292.

Frambach DA, Bendel RE. 1991. Zinc supplementation and anemia [letter]. JAMA. 265:869.

Freeland-Graves JH, Friedman BJ, Han W, Shorey RL, Young R. 1982. Effect of zinc supplementation on plasma high-density lipoprotein and zinc. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 35:988–992.

Greger, JL. 1994. Zinc: overview from deficiency to toxicity. In: Mertz W, Abernathy CO, Olin SS, eds. *Risk Assessment of Essential Elements*. Washington, DC: ILSI Press; 91–111.

Gyorffy EJ, Chan H. 1992. Copper deficiency and microcytic anemia resulting from prolonged ingestion of over-the-counter zinc. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 87:1054–1055.

Hambidge KM, Miller LV, Westcott JE, Krebs NF. 2008. Dietary reference intakes for zinc may require adjustment for phytate intake based upon model predictions. *J Nutr.* 138(12):2363-6.

Hambidge KM, Miller LV, Westcott JE, Sheng X, Krebs NF. 2010. Zinc bioavailability and homeostasis. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 91(5):1478S-1483S.

Higdon J. 2001. Zinc webpage. Linus Pauling Institute website. <a href="https://lpi.oregonstate.edu/mic/minerals/zinc#authors-reviewers">https://lpi.oregonstate.edu/mic/minerals/zinc#authors-reviewers</a>. (Updated February 2019)

Hooper PL, Visconti L, Garry PJ, Johnson GE.1980. Zinc lowers high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol levels. *JAMA*. 244:1960–1961.

Indian Council of Medical Research - National Institute of Nutrition (ICMR-NIN). 2020. ICMR-NIN Expert Group on Nutrient Requirement for Indians, Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA) and Estimated Average Requirements (EAR).

Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2001. Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2006. *Dietary Reference Intakes. The Essential Guide to Nutrient Requirements*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press

Katayama K, Hosui A, Sakai Y, Itou M, Matsuzaki Y, Takamori Y, Hosho K, Tsuru T, Takikawa Y, Michitaka K, Ogawa E, Miyoshi Y, Ito T, Ida S, Hamada I, Miyoshi K, Kodama H, Takehara T. 2020. Effects of Zinc Acetate on Serum Zinc Concentrations in Chronic Liver Diseases: a Multicenter, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial and a Dose Adjustment Trial. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 195(1):71-81.

Kauwell GP, Bailey LB, Gregory JF III, Bowling DW, Cousins RJ. 1995. Zinc status is not adversely affected by folic acid supplementation and zinc intake does not impair folate utilization in human subjects. *J Nutr.* 125:66–72.

King JC, Keen CL. 1999. Zinc. In: Shils ME, Olson JA, Shike M, Ross CA, eds. *Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease*. 9th ed. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger; 223–339.

Korean Nutrition Society (KNS). 2020. Ministry of Health and Welfare (KR). The Korean Nutrition Society. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Koreans*. Sejong: Ministry of Health and Welfare.

Li J, Cao D, Huang Y, Chen B, Chen Z, Wang R, Dong Q, Wei Q, Liu L. 2022. Zinc Intakes and Health Outcomes: An Umbrella Review. *Front Nutr.* 9:79807.

Miller LV, Krebs NF and Hambidge KM. 2007. A mathematical model of zinc absorption in humans as a function of dietary zinc and phytate. *Journal of Nutrition*. 137(135–141).

Milne DB, Canfield WK, Mahalko JR, Sandstead HH. 1984. Effect of oral folic acid supplements on zinc, copper, and iron absorption and excretion. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 39:535–359.

Milne DB, Davis CD, Nielsen FH. 2001. Low dietary zinc alters indices of copper function and status in postmenopausal women. *Nutrition*. 17:701–708.

Mukherjee MD, Sandstead HH, Ratnaparkhl MV, Johnson LK, Milne DB, Stelling HP. 1984. Maternal zinc, iron, folic acid, and protein nutriture and outcome of human pregnancy. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 40:496–507.

National Institutes of Health (NIH), Office of Dietary Supplements. 2011. *Dietary Supplements Fact Sheets: Zinc.* Washington, DC: National Institutes of Health.

Nazari-Taloki Z, Salehifar E, Makhlough A, Dashti-Khavidaki S. 2023. Effect of Recommended Dietary Intake versus Higher Doses of Supplemental Zinc on Iron and Copper Deficiency Anemia Among Patients with Chronic Kidney Diseases, A Double-Blinded, Randomized Clinical Trial. *Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 29:495-503.

Nazem MR, Asadi M, Adelipour M, Jabbari N, Allameh A. 2023. Zinc supplementation ameliorates type 2 diabetes markers through the enhancement of total antioxidant capacity in overweight patients. *Postgrad Med J.* 99(1174):862-867.

Okamoto T, Hatakeyama S, Konishi S, Okita K, Tanaka Y, Imanishi K, Takashima T, Saitoh F, Sangeetha VJ, Dutta S, Moses JA, Anandharamakrishnan C. 2022. Zinc nutrition and human health: Overview and implications. *eFood*. 3:e17.

Suzuki T, Ohyama C. 2020a. Comparison of zinc acetate hydrate and polaprezinc for zinc deficiency in patients on maintenance hemodialysis: A single-center, open-label, prospective randomized study. *Ther Apher Dial.* 24(5):568-577.

Okamoto T, Hatakeyama S, Togashi K, Hamaya T, Tanaka Y, Imanishi K, Takashima T, Saitoh F, Suzuki T, Ohyama C. 2020b. Pre-dialysis serum creatinine as an independent predictor of responsiveness to zinc supplementation among patients on hemodialysis. *Clin Exp Nephrol*. 24(10):955-962.

Simmer K, James C, Thompson RPH. 1987. Are iron-folate supplements harmful? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 45:122–125.

EXCERPTED FROM: Vitamin and Mineral Safety 4th Edition (2025) Council for Responsible Nutrition (CRN) https://www.crnusa.org/resources/vitamin-mineral-safety

Summerfield AL, Steinberg FU, Gonzalez JG. 1992. Morphologic findings in bone marrow precursor cells in zinc-induced copper deficiency anemia. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 97:665–658.

Tamura T, Goldenberg RL, Freeberg LE, Cliver SP, Cutter GR, Hoffman HJ. 1992. Maternal serum folate and zinc concentrations and their relationships to pregnancy outcome. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 56:365–370.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agriculture Research Service. 2011. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 24. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page. <a href="http://www.ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=22808">http://www.ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=22808</a>. Updated October 10, 2012.

Yadrick MK, Kenney MA, Winterfeldt EA. 1989. Iron, copper, and zinc status: response to supplementation with zinc or zinc and iron in adult females. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 49:145–150.

Updated July 2025 by ToxStrategies, LLC, a BlueRidge Life Sciences Company